1- and 2-wire transverse impedance measurement or simulation

E. Métral

See <u>http://www.slac.stanford.edu/cgi-</u> wrap/getdoc/slac-ap-110.pdf (Heifets et al.) and <u>http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/702715/files/sl-</u> note-2002-034.pdf (Tsutsui)

 For more precise analyses of machine impedances and related collective effects, several quantities are needed

- Z_{x,dip} (dipolar or driving)
- Z_{x,quad} ("quadrupolar" or detuning)
- Z_{y,dip} (driving)
- Z_{y,quad} (detuning)

Z_{quad} is the same for both transverse planes ⇒ BUT, 2 differences for its use: 1) Subtracts in x and adds in y; 2) Impedances are weighted by the betatron function in each plane

"General" definition of transverse impedances (1/2)

 Axi-symmetric structures azimuthal Fourier component creates electromagnetic fields with the same azimuthal Fourier component

$$\overline{Z}_m = -\frac{1}{Q^2} \int dV \,\overline{E}_m \,.\,\overline{J}_m^*$$

Usual definition of the longitudinal impedance (m=0,1,2,...)

Non axi-symmetric structures azimuthal Fourier component may create an electromagnetic field with various different azimuthal Fourier components general beam coupling impedance is defined in order to treat coupling of different azimuthal Fourier components

$$Z_{m,n} = -\frac{1}{Q^2} \int dV E_m . J_n^*$$

More "general" definition of the longitudinal impedance (m,n = 0, ±1, ±2,...)

"General" definition of transverse impedances (2/2)

The "general" transverse impedances Z_{x,y} (in Ω!, i.e. not normalized by the transverse displacement) on a test particle at (x₂ = a₂ cosθ₂, y₂ = a₂ sinθ₂) from a source at (x₁ = a₁ cosθ₁, y₁ = a₁ sinθ₁), are given by (to 1st order)

$$k Z_{x} = (Z_{0,1} + Z_{0,-1}) + (x_{1})\overline{Z}_{x} + j y_{1} (-Z_{1,-1} - Z_{1,1} + Z_{-1,-1} + Z_{-1,1}) + 2(Z_{0,2} + Z_{0,-2}) x_{2} + 2(Z_{0,2} - Z_{0,-2}) j y_{2}$$

$$k Z_{y} = j \left(Z_{0,1} - Z_{0,-1} \right) + \underbrace{y_{1}}_{y_{2}} \overline{Z}_{y} + j x_{1} \left(-Z_{1,-1} + Z_{1,1} - Z_{-1,-1} + Z_{-1,1} \right) - 2 \left(Z_{0,2} + Z_{0,-2} \right) \underbrace{y_{2}}_{y_{2}} + 2 \left(Z_{0,2} - Z_{0,-2} \right) j x_{2}$$

with
$$k = \omega / c$$
 $\overline{Z}_x = Z_{1,1} + Z_{1,-1} + Z_{-1,1} + Z_{-1,-1}$ $\overline{Z}_y = Z_{1,1} - Z_{1,-1} - Z_{-1,1} + Z_{-1,-1}$

$$\implies Z_x^{\text{driving}} = \overline{Z}_x / k \qquad Z_y^{\text{driving}} = \overline{Z}_y / k \qquad Z^{\text{detuning}} = -2\left(Z_{0,2} + Z_{0,-2}\right) / k$$

Elias Métral, 5th Informal SPS impedance meeting, 03/10/2008

2-wire technique

- This gives the (usual) dipolar (driving) impedance
- Method: Measure the longitudinal impedance Z and deduce the transverse one



1-wire technique (1/4)

 With 1 wire (at x = a cosθ, y = a sinθ), the longitudinal impedance measured (or simulated) is given by (to 2nd order)

$$Z = A_1 + a e^{-j\vartheta} A_2 + a e^{j\vartheta} A_3 + a^2 e^{-2j\vartheta} A_4 + a^2 e^{2j\vartheta} A_5 + a^2 A_6$$

with
$$\begin{array}{l} A_1 = Z_{0,0} \\ \\ A_2 = Z_{1,0} + Z_{0,-1} \\ \\ A_3 = Z_{0,1} + Z_{-1,0} \\ \\ \\ A_4 = Z_{2,0} + Z_{1,-1} + Z_{0,-2} \\ \\ \\ A_5 = Z_{0,2} + Z_{-1,1} + Z_{-2,0} \\ \\ \\ A_6 = Z_{1,1} + Z_{-1,-1} \end{array}$$

1-wire technique (2/4)

- If there is top/bottom and left/right symmetry (fortunately it is the usual case...), the situation simplifies a lot => See EPAC06 paper (<u>http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/e06/PAPERS/THPCH059.PDF</u>)
 - If $a = x_0$ and $\theta = 0$

$$Z = A_1 + x_0^2 \left(A_4 + A_5 + A_6 \right)$$

= $A_1 + x_0^2 \left[\overline{Z}_x + \left(Z_{2,0} + Z_{0,2} + Z_{-2,0} + Z_{0,-2} \right) \right]$

Scanning x₀ gives a parabola

• If $a = y_0$ and $\theta = \pi/2$

$$\begin{split} & Z = A_1 + y_0^2 \left(-A_4 - A_5 + A_6 \right) \\ & = A_1 + y_0^2 \left[\overline{Z}_y - \left(Z_{2,0} + Z_{0,2} + Z_{-2,0} + Z_{0,-2} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

1-wire technique (3/4)

$$\implies$$
 IF $Z_{2,0} + Z_{-2,0} = Z_{0,2} + Z_{0,-2}$, the

$$Z_{2,0} + Z_{0,2} + Z_{-2,0} + Z_{0,-2} = 2\left(Z_{0,2} + Z_{0,-2}\right) = -k Z^{\text{detuning}}$$

Tsutsui showed "approximately" that for a 2D thick metallic boundary case: $Z_{-m,-n} = Z_{n,m} \Longrightarrow$ In this case, the above formula is indeed valid

n

Remark 1: Only the "generalized impedances" can be obtained but not the driving and detuning impedance separately! This cannot be used like this in codes like HEADTAIL for instance

Remark 2: The dipolar (driving) impedances need to be obtained from the 2-wire technique

1-wire technique (4/4)

- If there is NO top/bottom or left/right symmetry, the situation is more involved:
 - By scanning a and θ (i.e. measuring Z for different values of a and θ), A_{1,2,3,4,5,6} can be found
 - Then, using the 2-wire technique the dipolar (driving) impedances can be obtained: $Z_x^{\text{driving}} = \overline{Z}_x / k$ $Z_y^{\text{driving}} = \overline{Z}_y / k$

• Then compute
$$Z_{1,-1} + Z_{-1,1} = \left(\overline{Z}_x - \overline{Z}_y\right)/2$$

• Then, if
$$Z_{2,0} + Z_{-2,0} = Z_{0,2} + Z_{0,-2}$$

$$Z^{\text{detuning}} = \frac{Z_x^{\text{driving}} - Z_y^{\text{driving}}}{2} - \frac{A_4 + A_5}{k}$$

Conclusion (and reminder)

- Both 1-wire and 2-wire techniques are required (in asymmetric structures) to obtain all the information needed to correctly understand/describe the collective effects in accelerators
- With 2 wires the transverse dipolar (driving) impedances are obtained
- ♦ With 1 wire (scanning the wire position), and using the driving impedances measured with 2 wires, the detuning impedance can be deduced (IF a certain condition is fulfilled ⇒ Still to be checked in which cases this relation is satisfied or not)

What about the coupling terms (see page 3)??? Can they be important in some cases???